ON LICENSING OF NEGATIVE POLARITY ITEMS IN EGYPTIAN ARABIC

Usama Soltan (usoltan@middlebury.edu)

Middlebury College

25th Arabic Linguistics Symposium March 4-6, 2011—Tucson, AZ

Goals

- **First,** provide a descriptive account of the distribution of two Negative Polarity Items (NPIs) in Egyptian Arabic (EA): *Payy* and *walaa*.
- **Second**, compare two approaches to the licensing conditions for these two NPIs, concluding that an approach to NPI-licensing in terms of nonveridicality fares better than a monotonicity-based approach in accounting for the EA facts.

Negative Polarity Items in Egyptian Arabic

- □ NPIs refer to lexical items that have restricted distribution in a language because their occurrence is tied to the presence of a "licenser" in the structure, typically one with negative or negative-like properties, hence the name NPIs (Klima 1964; Baker 1970).
- □ In this presentation, I discuss the behavior of two NPIs in EA: Payy (=any) and walaa (the polarity-sensitive item typically used in negative concord contexts).

Payy (=any)

أي

2 Payy functions as a determiner that combines with indefinite nouns as in the examples below:

1. Payy waa \hbar id/Payy \hbar ad "anyone"

Payy ħaagah "anything"

Payy \hbar itta "any place"

Payy raagil "any man"

Payy kitaab "any book"

Payy (=any)

أي

2a. ?anaa maa-šuf-t-i-š ?ayy ħad

I NEG-SAW-1SG-EV-NEG any one
'I didn't see anybody.'

أنا ما شفتش أي حد.

b. *?anaa šuf-t ?ayy ħad

I saw-1SG any one

*أنا شفت أي حد.

walaa



Similarly, walaa combines with indefinite nouns:

3. walaa waa \hbar id/walaa \hbar ad "no one"

walaa \hbar aagah "nothing"

walaa \hbar ittah "no place"

walaa raagil "no man"

walaa kitaab "no book"

walaa



```
4a. ?anaa maa-šuf-t-i-š walaa waaħid

I NEG-saw-1SG-EV-NEG no one
'I didn't see anybody.'

أنا ما شفتش و لا واحد.
```

b. *?anaa šuf-t walaa waaħid

I saw-1SG no one
*أنا شفت و لا واحد.

Road Map

- In the first half of the presentation, I provide a descriptive account of the grammatical distribution of Payy and walaa.
- In the second half of the presentation, I compare two different analyses of NPI-licensing to determine which analysis is more adequate in accounting for the distribution Payy and walaa.

The distribution of Payy and walaa in EA

- There are two types of grammatical contexts to consider with regard to the distribution of the NPIs Payy and walaa:
- 5a. Contexts in which both Payy and walaa occur, and
 - b. Contexts where Payy, but not walaa, may occur.

Contexts where both *Payy* and *walaa* occur: Clausemate Sentential Negation

```
?ayy/walaa waahid
6a. maa-šuf-t-i-š
    NEG-saw-1SG-EV-NEG any/no
                                         one
    'I didn't see anybody.'
                                    ما شفتش أي/و لا واحد.
                           ?ayy/walaa ħaagah
  b. maa-šuf-t-i-š
    NEG-saw-1SG-EV-NEG any/no
                                         thing
    'I didn't see anything.'
                                    ما شفتش أي او لا حاجة ِ
```

Contexts where both Payy and walaa occur: min-yeir (=without) clauses

7. Salii mišii min-Yeir maa
Ali left.3sSGM without COMP
yi-tkallim masa ?ayy/walaa waaħid
IPFV-talk.3SGM with any/no one
'Ali left without talking to anyone.'
على مشى من غير ما يتكلم مع أي/ولا واحد.

Contexts where both Payy and walaa occur: min-yeir (=without) clauses

8. Mona laff-it kitiir fii-?il-mool
Mona shopped.3SGF much in-the-mall
min-Yeir maa ti-štirii ?ayy/walaa ħaagah
without COMP IPFV-buy.3SGF any/no thing
'Mona shopped around at the mall for a long time
without buying anything.'

منی لفت کتیر فی المول من غیر ما تشتری أی/و لا حاجة.

Contexts where both Payy and walaa occur: Pabl (=before) clauses

9. Pabuu-haa maat Pabl maa yi-šoof father-her died.3SGM before COMP see.3SGM Payy/walaa waaħid min Paħfaad-u-h any/no one from grandchildren-EV-his 'Her father died without seeing any of his grandchildren.'

أبوها مات قبل ما يشوف أي/ولا واحد من أحفاده.

Contexts where both Payy and walaa occur: Pabl (=before) clauses

10. Mona laff-it kitiir fii-ʔil-mool

Mona shopped.3SGF much in-the-mall
ʔabl maa ti-štirii ʔayy/*walaa ħaagah
before COMP IPFV-buy.3SGF any/no thing
'Mona shopped around at the mall for a long time
before buying anything.'

منی لفت کتیر فی المول قبل ما تشتری أي/*ولا حاجة.

So,

- 2 Payy and walaa may occur interchangeably in the contexts of
 - (i) clausemate sentential negation,
 - (ii) without-clauses, and
 - (iii) some before-clauses.

Contexts where *?ayy*, but not *walaa*, occurs: Distant Negation

11. Aħmad maa-ʔaal-š ʔin Mona
Ahmad NEG-said.3SGM-NEG COMP Mona
fihm-it ʔayy/*walaa ħaagah
understood-3SGF any/no thing
'Ahmad didn't say that Mona understood
anything.'
أحمد ما قالش إن منى فهمت أي/*ولا حاجة.

Contexts where Payy, but not walaa, occurs: Polar Questions (non-rhetorical)

```
12a. ?inta šuf-t ?ayy/*walaa waaħid?
    you saw-2SGM any/no
                                 one
    'Did you see anybody?'
                             إنت شفت أي/*ولا واحد؟
  b. ?inta akal-t ?ayy/*walaa ħaagah?
     you ate-2SGM any/no
                              thing
     'Did you eat anything?'
                             إنت أكلت أي/*ولا حاجة؟
```

Contexts where Payy, but not walaa, occurs: Polar Questions (rhetorical)

13. Huwwa Ahmad Sumr-uh Paraa

Q Ahmad ever-his read.3SGM

?ayy/*walaa kitaab?

any/no book

'Did Ahmad ever read a book?'

هوا أحمد عمره قرا أي/ ولا كتاب؟

Contexts where Payy, but not walaa, occurs: Wh-questions (non-rhetorical)

```
14. miin fii-kum yi-raf ?ayy/*walaa who in-you IPFV-know.3SGM any/no haagah ran ?il-lingwistiks? thing about the-linguistics 'Who among you knows anything about linguistics?'

با المنافية المنافية المنافية عن اللينجويستكس؟
```

Contexts where Payy, but not walaa, occurs: Wh-questions (rhetorical)

```
15. huwwa min ?imtaa Aħmad
           from when Ahmad
                             ?ayy/*walaa
   bi-yi-fham
   ASP-IPFV-understand.3SGM any/no
   haagah fii ?il-?iqtiSaad?
   thing in the-economics
   'Since when does Ahmad understand anything
   about economics?
           هوا من امتى أحمد بيفهم أي/*ولا حاجة في الاقتصاد؟
```

Contexts where Payy, but not walaa, occurs: The protasis of a conditional (non-counterfactual)

16. law šuft ?ayy/*walaa ħaagah if saw.2sGM any/no thing ballar ?il-boliis tell.IMP the-police 'If you see anything, call the police!'

- الم شفت أي/*ولا حاجة بلغ البوليس.

Contexts where *Payy*, but not *walaa*, occurs: The protasis of a conditional (counterfactual)

17. law kunt šuft ?ayy/*walaa ħaagah if was.1SG saw.1SG any/no thing kunt ballaɣ-t ?il-boliis was.1SG tell.IMP-1SG the-police 'If I had seen anything, I would have called the police.'

18. was.1SG tell.IMP-1SG the-police the police.

Contexts where Payy, but not walaa, occurs: As-if clauses

18. ?inta bi-ti-tkallim wi-ka?ina-k you ASP-IPFV-talk.2SGM and-as-you faahim ?ayy/*walaa ħaagah understanding.PTCP any/no thing fii 'il-lingwistiks in the-linguistics 'You talk as if you understand anything in linguistics.' إنت بتتكلم وكإنك فاهم أي حاجة/*ولا حاجة في اللينجويستكس.

Contexts where Payy, but not walaa, occurs: The restriction of a universal quantifier

19. kul waaħid ſand-u-h ʔayy/*walaa
every one at-EV-him any/no
suʔaal yi-kallim-ni baʕd
question IPFV-talk.3SGM-me after
ʔil-muħaaDrah
the-lecture

'Everyone who has a question should talk to me after the lecture.'

كل واحد عنده أي سؤال/*ولا سؤال يكلمني بعد المحاضرة.

Contexts where Payy, but not walaa, occurs: The nuclear scope of Pulayyiliin (=few)

```
20. naas ?ulayyil-iin fii ?il-~arb
    people few-PL in the-West
    bi-yi-?raf-uu ?ayy/*walaa ħaagah
    ASP-IPFV-know-3PL any/no thing
          ?il-islaam
    San
    about the-Islam
    'Few people in the West know anything about
    Islam.'
   ناس قليلين في الغرب بيعرفوا أي حاجة/ ولا حاجة عن الإسلام.
```

Contexts where Payy, but not walaa, occurs: Comparative too-clauses

Atmad ?aD?af min ?inn-u-h Ahmad weaker than COMP-EV-him yi-?uul ?ayy/*walaa ħaagah IPFV-say.3SGM any/no thing li-l-mudiir to-the-manager 'Ahmad is too weak to say anything to the manager.' أحمد أضعف من إنه يقول أي حاجة/*ولا حاجة للمدير.

Contexts where Payy, but not walaa, occurs: Direct object of adversative predicates

22. Aħmad ʔankar ʔayy/*walaa
Ahmad denied.3SG any/no
ʔilaaqah lii-h bi-l-mawduuʔ
relation to-him with-the-subject
'Ahmad denied having anything to do with this issue.'

أحمد أنكر أي علاقة/*ولا علاقة له بالموضوع.

Contexts where Payy, but not walaa, occurs: Embedded clause of adversative predicates

23. ʔašukk ʔin Aħmad bi-yi-tkallim doubt.1SG COMP Ahmad ASP-IPFV-talk.2SGM maʕa ʔayy/*walaa bint fii ʔil-gaamʕah with any/no girl in the-university 'I doubt that Ahmad talks to any girl at the university.'

. أشك إن أحمد بيتكلم مع أي بنت/*ولا بنت في الجامعة.

Contexts where Payy, but not walaa, occurs: Free choice contexts (Generics)

```
24. ?il-ħukoomaat ?il-Sarabiyyah
    the-governments the-Arab
    bi-ti-Daayi? ?ayy/*walaa Saħafii
    ASP-IPFV-harass.3SGF any/no
                                       journalist
    ya-ntaqid-haa
    IPFV-criticize.3SGM-them
    'Arab governments harass any journalist that
    criticizes them.'
       الحكومات العربية بتضايق أي صحفي/*ولا صحفي ينتقدها.
```

Contexts where *Payy*, but not *walaa*, occurs: Free choice contexts (Future)

```
25. Panaa ha-dawwar Palaa Payy/*walaa I FUT-look.1SG for any/no waaħid yi-saaPid-nii one IPFV-help.3SGM-me 'I will look for anyone to help me.'
انا هادور على أي واحد/*ولا واحد يساعدني.
```

Contexts where Payy, but not walaa, occurs: Free choice contexts (Modals)

26. mumkin ni-t?aabil fii ?ayy/*walaa
possible IPFV-meet. 1PL at any/no
wa?t bukrah
time tomorrow
'We may meet any time tomorrow.'
ممكن نتقابل في أي/*ولا وقت بكره.
27. laazim ti-šuuf ?ayy/*walaa doktoor
must.PTCP IPFV-see. 1PL any/no doctor
'You must see a doctor.'

لازم تشوف أي دكتور/*ولا دكتور.

Contexts where Payy, but not walaa, occurs: Free choice contexts (complement of intensional verbs)

28. Patmannaa Pinn-a-k ti-saafir

IPFV.hope.1SG COMP-EV-YOU IPFV-travel.3SGM

li-Payy/*li-walaa balad Parabii

to-any/to-no country Arab

'I hope you would travel to any Arab country.'

اتمنی إنك تسافر لأي بلد/*لولا بلد عربی.

Contexts where *Payy*, but not *walaa*, occurs: Free choice contexts (Habituals)

```
29. dayman ?abl ?il-noom ba-ħib
    always before the-sleep ASP.IPFV-like.1SG
    ?a-tfarrag Salaa ?ayy/*walaa
    IPFV-watch.1SG on any/no
    barnaamig fii ?il-tilifizyoon
    program in the-television
     'I always like to watch any program on TV before I
     go to bed.'
  دايما قبل النوم بحب اتفرج على أي برنامج/ ولا برنامج في التليفزيون.
```

Contexts where Payy, but not walaa, occurs: Imperatives

```
30. Yanni-l-naa ?ayy/*walaa ?uYniyyah
sing.IMP-to-us any/no song
yaa Waħiid!
VOC Waħiid
'Wahiid, sing us any song!'
غنيلنا أي أغنية/*ولا أغنية يا وحيد.
```

Grammatical context	An ?ayy-phrase	A walaa-phrase
Clausemate Negation	Yes	Yes
Without-clauses	Yes	Yes
Before-clauses	Yes	Yes
Distant Negation	Yes	No
Polar questions (rhetorical or non-rhetorical)	Yes	No
Wh-questions (rhetorical or non-rhetorical)	Yes	No
Protasis of conditionals (counterfactual or non-counterfactual)	Yes	No
As-if clauses	Yes	No
The restriction of \forall	Yes	No
The nuclear scope of <i>?ulayyiliin</i> (=few) and <i>?ulayyiliin</i>	Yes	No
giddan (=very few)		
Comparatives too-clauses	Yes	No
As direct objects or in the complement clause of adversative predicates	Yes	No
Generics	Yes	No
Future	Yes	No
Modals	Yes	No
In the complement clause of intensional verbs	Yes	No
Habituals	Yes	No
Imperatives	Yes	No

Table 1. Contrastive distribution of ?ayy and walaa in EA

Two questions:

- 31a. First, what grammatical property licenses the occurrence of ?ayy and walaa in the contexts in Table 1? Let's call that the licensing question.
 - b. Second, why does Payy have a wider distribution than walaa in EA? Let's call that the contrastive distribution question.

Two approaches to questions (31a,b):

- The monotonicity-based approach (Ladusaw 1979)
- □ The veridicality-based approach (Giannakidou 1997, 1998, 2009)

The monotonicity-based approach (MBA) to NPI-licensing

32. δ is a trigger for NPIs if and only if δ is downward-entailing. (Ladusaw 1979:113)

where downward entailment is defined as follows:

- 33. A function f is downward-entailing iff for arbitrary elements X, Y it holds that: $X \subseteq Y \rightarrow f(Y) \subseteq f(X)$.
- Downward-entailing (DE) functions are order reversing and allow inferences from sets to subsets.

DE operators: Negation, few, and seldom

34. Ahmad does not own a house.

 $\|$ a big house $\| \subseteq \|$ house $\|$

- ... Ahmad does not own a big house.
- 35. Few Arabs eat vegetables.

 $|| spinach || \subseteq || vegetable ||$

- ... Few Arabs eat spinach.
- 36. Arabs seldom eat vegetables.

 $\| \operatorname{spinach} \| \subseteq \| \operatorname{vegetable} \|$

... Arabs seldom eat spinach.

DE operators license any in English

- 37a. Ahmad did not understand anything.
 - b. Few students understood anything.
 - c. These students seldom understand anything.

Non-DE operators: Affirmation, many, and often

38. Ahmad owns a house.|| a big house || ⊆ || house ||

≠ Ahmad owns a big house.

39. Many Arabs eat vegetables.

 $\| \operatorname{spinach} \| \subseteq \| \operatorname{vegetable} \|$

≠ Many Arabs eat spinach.

40. Arabs often eat vegetables.

 $\| \operatorname{spinach} \| \subseteq \| \operatorname{vegetable} \|$

≠ Arabs often eat spinach.

Non-DE operators do not license *any* in English

- 41a. *Ahmad understood anything.
 - b. *Many students understood anything.
 - c. *These students often understand anything.

But why do some NPIs have a wider distribution than others?

- Because not all DE functions are created equal.
- Zwarts (1995, 1996) and van der Wouden (1997) propose a more fine-grained system of downward entailment, where three types of DE functions are identified:

monotone decreasing (e.g., few, seldom); anti-additive (e.g., nobody, no student); and antimorphic (e.g., sentential negation, without).

So, how does the MBA explain the distribution of Payy and walaa in EA?

- Under the MBA, we have the following answers to the licensing and contrastive distribution questions in (31a,b):
- 42a. Both Payy and walaa occur in contexts that include a DE operator.
 - b. Payy is licensed in the context of a monotone decreasing operator, whereas walaa is licensed in the context of an antimorphic operator.

Problems for the MBA analysis: Not general enough

- Do all the grammatical contexts in Table 1 contain a DE operator?
- \square Some of them indeed do: negation, without, before, restrictor of \forall , nuclear scope of $\mathit{Pulayyiliin}$.
- But some are not as clearly DE: questions, the protasis of conditionals, comparatives, and imperatives.
- And some are typically characterized as nonmonotone: generics, future, and modals.
- Downward entailment thus does not seem to be a general enough notion to account for all contexts of NPI licensing (Giannkidou 1998, 2009).

Problems for the MBA analysis: Antimorphicity is too restrictive for EA

- Recall the behavior of walaa in before-contexts: sometimes it is allowed, and sometimes not (cf. 9-10).
- If before were antimorphic, then we would predict walaa to occur in all before-clauses, contrary to fact.
- If before were anti-additive, then we would predict that other anti-additive operators such as adversative predicates would license walaa, again contrary to fact (cf. 22-23).

Summary 1

DE is not a general enough notion to account for all contexts of NPI licensing in EA, nor is it able to account for the contrast in distribution between Payy and walaa in the language, particularly in beforecontexts.

The veridicality-based approach (VBA) to NPI-licensing

- Giannakidou (1997, 1998, 2009), developing ideas in Zwarts (1995), argues for an analysis of NPI-licensing in terms of the semantic notion of (non)veridicality.
- The veridicality of a proposition has to do with certainty and an individual's commitment to the truth of a proposition.
- Nonveridicality characterizes those contexts where no such commitment is made.
- Nonveridical contexts in which a commitment is made to the falsity of a proposition are said to be antiveridical.

The VBA: Formal definitions

- 43a. A propositional operator F is veridical iff Fp entails or presupposes that p is true in some individual's epistemic model $M_E(x)$; otherwise F is nonveridical.
 - b. A nonveridical operator F is antiveridical iff Fp entails that not p in some individual's epistemic model: $Fp \rightarrow \neg p$ in some $M_E(x)$.

The VBA: Examples

- "Yesterday" is a veridical operator:
- 44. John left yesterday. →[John left] is true.
- "Perhaps" is a nonveridical operator:
- 45. Perhaps John left. →[John left] may not be true.
- Negation is an antiveridical operator:
- 46. John didn't leave. →[John left] is false.

So, what's the answer to the licensing question under the VBA?

- Giannakidou argues that the grammatical contexts in Table 1 are all nonveridical, and, therefore, concludes that NPIs are licensed only when in the scope of a nonveridical operator.
- For example, interrogatives and imperatives are argued not to have truth values, and in that sense are nonveridical.

So, what's the answer to the licensing question under the VBA?

- The protasis of a noncounterfactual conditional is also nonveridical, since, in some intuitive sense, it may or may not be met.
- The same applies to future events, and those introduced by modals.
- The restriction of a universal quantifier is also nonveridical; "every student who has any question," does not entail that "every student has a question." In fact, it is compatible with a context in which no student has any question.

So, what's the answer to the contrastive distribution question under the VBA?

47. Payy is licensed in nonveridical contexts. whereas walaa is licensed in antiveridical contexts.

We have already shown that clausemate sentential negation is antiveridical. How about without and before?

p without q

- Without is veridical with regard to its p argument, but antiveridical with respect to its q argument:
- 48. John left without talking to Mary. →[John left] is true.[John talked to Mary] is false.
- Prediction: walaa may always occur in the q argument of without, which is indeed the case (cf. the examples in (7-8)).

p before q

- Before is veridical with respect to its p argument, but its veridicality status with respect to the q argument is context-sensitive.
- In some contexts, before is nonveridical with respect to the q argument, as in (51):
- 49. John resigned before talking to his boss. →
 [John resigned] is true.
 [John talked to his boss] may not be true.

p before q

- In other contexts, the q argument of before can indeed be antiveridical:
- 50. John died before seeing his grandchildren. →[John died] is true.[John saw his grandchildren] is false.
- □ Prediction: walaa will occur in the q argument of before, but only when it is antiveridical, which is indeed the case (cf. the examples in (9-10), repeated on the next two slides).

Now, reconsider the EA facts:

7abuu-haa maat ?abl maa yi-šoof father-her died.3SGM before COMP see.3SGM ?ayy/walaa waaħid min ?aħfaad-u-h any/no one from grandchildren-EV-his 'Her father died without seeing any of his grandchildren.'

أبوها مات قبل ما يشوف أي او لا واحد من أحفاده.

Now, reconsider the EA facts:

52. Mona laff-it kitiir fii-ʔil-mool

Mona shopped.3SGF much in-the-mall
ʔabl maa ti-štirii ʔayy/*walaa ħaagah
before COMP IPFV-buy.3SGF any/no thing
'Mona shopped around at the mall for a long time
before buying anything.'

منی لفت کتیر فی المول قبل ما تشتر ی أی/*ولا حاجة.

Summary 2

The VBA fares better than the MBA in its account for the occurrence of Payy and walaa in EA as well as the contrast in distribution between them.

As it turns out, the VBA also has further empirical consequences for licensing of Payy (or lack thereof) in other grammatical contexts. I discuss one such case next.

Licensing Payy with propositional attitude predicates (PAPs) of the directive type

□ PAPs of the directive-type such as ∫aayiz (=want), šaayif (=suggest, be of the opinion of), and ʔaSarr (=insist), allow the occurrence of ʔayy in their complement domains, where the embedded verb typically appears in the imperfective.

Saayiz (=want)

```
53. ?anaa ʕaayiz-ik ti-tʕarraf-ii

I want.PTCP-EV-you.SGF IPFV-meet-3SGF
ʕalaa ʔayy mumassil

on any actor
'I would like you to meet any actor.'

انا عایزك تتعرفی علی أي ممثل.
```

šaayif (=suggest, be of the opinion of)

```
54. Panaa šaayif Pinn-ik

I see.PTCP.SGM COMP-you.SGF

ti-tfarraf-ii falaa Payy mumassil

IPFV-meet-3SGF on any actor

'I suggest that you meet any actor.'

انا شایف انك تتعرفی علی أي ممثل.
```

?aSarr (=insist)

75. Aħmad ?aSarr ?inn-i-naa Ahmad insisted.3SGM COMP-EV-we ni-daxxal ?ayy Taalib

IPFV-let.in.1PL any student

?il-muħaaDrah

the-lecture

'Ahmad insisted that we let in any student to the lecture.'

أحمد أصر إننا ندخل أي طالب المحاضرة.

Non-licensing of *Payy* with epistemic and factive predicates

By contrast, PAPs of the epistemic and factive type such as Zann (=believe), Saarif (=know), and $\hbar ilim$ (=dream), which allow the verb to appear in the perfective form, typically do not license Sayy in their complement domains.

Zann (believe)

56. *?aZunn ?inn Mona ?it?arraf-it
believe.1SG COMP Mona met-3SGF

alaa ?ayy mumassil
on any actor

"I believe that Mona met any actor."

أظن إن منى اتعرفت على أي ممثل.

Saarif (=know.ptcp)

```
57. *Panaa Saarif Pinn-ik

I know.PTCP.1SG COMP-you.SGF
PitSarraf-tii Salaa Payy mumassil

met-3SGF on any actor

"I know that you met any actor."

أنا عارف إنك اتعرفتي على أي ممثل.
```

ħilim (=dream)

1 dreamed.1SG COMP-you.SGF
?itΓarraf-tii Γalaa ?ayy mumassil
met-3SGF on any actor
'*I dreamed that you met any actor.'
*أنا حلمت انك اتعرفتي على أي ممثل.

PAPs and (non)veridicality

Under the VBA, the contrast between both types of PAPs follows from (non)veridicality: Whereas the complements of directive PAPs are nonveridical, those of epistemic and factive PAPs are veridical.

PAPs and (non)veridicality: Believe vs. want

59a. [[Jacob believes that Sue loves Paul]]_c = 1 iff $\forall w \ [w \in M_E(Jacob) \to w \in \lambda w'. \ Sue loves \ Paul in w']$

b. [[Jacob wants that Sue leave]] $_c = 1$ if $\exists w \ [w \in M_E(Jacob) \land w \in \lambda w'.$ Sue leave in w']

A note on locality for walaa

If antiveridicality is the licencing condition on walaa, then why can't it be licensed long-distance? 11. Aħmad maa-?aal-š ?in Mona Ahmad NEG-said.3SGM-NEG COMP Mona ?ayy/*walaa ħaagah fihm-it understood-3SGF any/no thing 'Ahmad didn't say that Mona understood anything.' أحمد ما قالش إن منى فهمت أي/*و لا حاجة.

A note on locality for walaa

- The locality constraint on walaa licensing is not tied to the semantics of antiveridicality. Rather, locality of grammatical dependencies is better accounted for in syntactic terms.
- One possible explanation, suggested by Giannakidou (1998) for Modern Greek, is to assume, that walaa-phrases are quantifiers, hence undergo QR. Since QR is clause-bound, walaa's licenser has to be clausemate.
- Another approach is to assume that walaa has a formal feature that requires licensing via a syntactic operation, say Agree (Chomsky 2001). Since Agree is subject to a locality condition (the so-called *Phase Impenetrability Condition*), the clausemateness condition follows.

Conclusions

- The grammatical distribution of the two NPIs Payy and walaa in EA provides empirical evidence in support of the VBA account of NPI-licensing, and against the MBA analysis.
- □ For one thing, the MBA is unable to explain the difference in behavior between Payy and walaa, particularly with regard to the occurrence of walaa in before-clauses.
- \square More generally, the MBA fails to explain why ?ayy can still occur in non-downward-entailing contexts such as interrogatives or modals.

Conclusions

- □ The VBA, by contrast, can readily explain the difference in behavior between ?ayy and walaa by imposing an antiveridicality restriction on the licensing of walaa, which also has the advantage of explaining the variable behavior of walaa in before-clauses.
- The VBA also offers a unified account for all contexts of Payy licensing, including free choice environments, by appealing to the notion of nonveridicality.
- \Box Furthermore, the VBA is shown to account for the variable behavior of Payy with propositional attitude predicates.

Conclusions

I conclude that the VBA is empirically superior to the MBA when it comes to NPI licensing in EA.

Abbreviations in glosses

The following abbreviations are used in the glosses of the Egyptian Arabic data in the paper: 1, 2, 3 for first, second, and third person, respectively; SG = singular; PL = plural; DU = dual; M = masculine; F = feminine; NEG = negation marker; FUT = future; COMP = complementizer; IPFV = imperfective; PTCP = participial; Q = question-particle; IMP = imperative; VOC = vocative particle; EV = epenthetic vowel.

References

- Baker, C. L. 1970. Double negatives. Linguistic Inquiry, 1, 169–186.
- Chomsky, Noam. 2001. Derivation by Phase. In Kenstowicz, Michael (ed.) Ken Hale: a life in language. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press. 1-52.
- Giannakidou, Anastasia. 1997. The Landscape of Polarity Items. PhD Dissertation, University of Groningen.
- Giannakidou, Anastasia. 1998. Polarity Sensitivity as (Non)veridical Dependency. John Benjamins. Amsterdam.
- Giannakidou, Anastasia. 2009. Negative and positive polarity items:
 Variation, licensing, and compositionality. To appear in Maienborn, Claudia,
 Klaus von Heusinger, and Paul Portner (eds.) Semantics: An International
 Handbook of Natural Language Meaning. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Klima, S. Edward. 1964. Negation in English. In Jerry Fodor and Jerold Katz (eds.), The Structure of Language, pp. 246-323. Englewood Cliffs, Prentice Hall.

References cont.

- Ladusaw, William. 1979. Polarity Sensitivity as Inherent Scope Relations. PhD
 Dissertation, University of Texas at Austin.
- van der Wouden, Ton. 1997. Negative contexts: Collocation, polarity and multiple negation. London: Routledge.
- Zwarts, Frans. 1995. Nonveridical contexts. Linguistic Analysis 25, 286-312.
- Zwarts, Frans. 1996. A hierarchy of negative expressions. In Wansing,
 Heinrich (ed.) Negation: A Notion in Focus, 169-194. Berlin: De Gruyter.

THANK YOU!

شكرا لحسن استماعكم!